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Abstract—This study is motivated by our observation that earlier works have looked at Akan personal names either from sociolinguistics or non-linguistic perspectives; however, a critical morphological analysis of the structure of Akan honorific and title names for God has eluded researchers in linguistics. It is based on this background that we conduct a thorough morphological investigation into Akan honorific and title names for God, with the aim of addressing the morphological processes that account for their derivation. Drawing on data from both primary and secondary sources, the analysis reveals that Akan honorific and title names ascribed to God have complex nominals and this is manifested through affixation, compounding and reduplication. It further shows that some of the names are recursive in nature and are therefore derived through nominalization of sentences or clauses, especially those that undergo compounding.
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I. INTRODUCTION

African names may reflect the name-users’ geographical environment as well as their fears, religious beliefs, and philosophies about life and death and this is evident in Akan. The names of children may even provide insights into important cultural or socio-political events at the time of their birth (Obeng, 2001). Many Scholars (e.g. Opoku, 1967; Ansu-Kyeremeh, 2000; Obeng, 2001; Agyekum, 2006, etc.) have strived to classify Akan personal names into various kinds. However, the focus of this study is based on the typology outlined by Agyekum (2006) as it arguably projects almost all the aspects of Akan personal names. Agyekum (2006) gives the typology of Akan personal names including, birthday names, family names, circumstantial names, flora and fauna, and physical structure names, theophoric names, insinuating, proverbial, insults and nicknames, gang, play and occupational names, and honorific and title names.

Agyekum (2006) describes honorific and title names, the focus of this paper, as names that are achieved outside people’s given names. Such names are normally appellations and titles which may be achieved from occupations, wars, zeal, and stool names when a person is enthroned. Ṯogyeawso “the fighter who seizes rivers”, Bediako “came to engage in wars” etc. are some of the examples of this category of Akan personal names. Names in this category are used in diverse social contexts and their usage portrays the Akan deference for their addressees (Yankah, 1995; Agyekum, 2003). That is to say, honorific and title names could mostly be employed in Akan formal settings to probably serve as a persuasive mechanism among interlocutors (Obeng, 1997; Agyekum, 2004). These names are normally ascribed to the elites and the powerful in the society including natural and supernatural entities (Boadi, 1989; Ameakpordi, 2012).

The honorific and title names ascribed to God in Akan for instance, reflects the belief of the speakers in this Supreme Being (Mbiti, 1991). In other words, it is observed that the Akan honorific and title names attributed to the Supreme God capture the Akan ideology, philosophy, worldview and thought about the Supreme God. For example, the Akan call God Ḳbade/Brebre ‘The Creator/Originator/Inventor’, Onyame ‘The Satisfying one’, Brekysirhunuade ‘All-knowing’, Ḳdomankoma ‘The Passionate one’, Ḳkofo(ɔ) ‘The Warrior’, Otwereduampcn/Tweaduampcn ‘The Dependable’ etc. (see Christaller, 1933; Danquah, 1968; Agyekum, 2003; Ansong, Asante & Kquofi, 2014).

In Akan, there are various types of honorifics used in different social contexts. They include: power-based, gender-based, occupational and symmetrical solidarity honorifics (Agyekum, 2003). This paper is particularly concerned about the power-based honorifics which are honorific expressions ascribed to addressees based on his/her power or the social class, age, profession, gender, etc. The power-based honorifics are always asymmetric and nonreciprocal; that is, such honorifics always come from a subordinate to a superior but not vice versa. Most of the honorifics ascribed to God in Akan are power-based. Such honorifics express God’s omnipotence, omnipresence, his amazing grace, generosity and so on. Examples include: Ḳbade/Brebre ‘The Creator/Originator/Inventor’, Ḳdomankoma ‘The Passionate one’, Otwereduampcn/Tweaduampcn, and among others. Apart from these power-based honorifics, the Akan occasionally
ascribe other types of honorifics including gender-based, occupational-based and solidarity honorifics to God such as ṭbuaṭa-n-pa ‘good mother’, ṭsahene ‘commander-in-chief’ and Agya ‘father’ respectively.

As Boadi (1989), Anyidoho (1991), and Agyekum (2003) note, Akan honorific and title names which are normally in the form of appellations may be grammatically complex in that their formation mostly involves putting morphemes or words together to form compound-names, or agglutination of sentences or clauses which sometimes make their interpretation very challenging. This paper, seeks to add to the growing body of research on Akan personal names by gearing the analysis towards the morphology of Akan honorific and title names for God (AHTN-G). That is, the study aims at describing the various word formation processes that take place during the nominalization of the names for God in Akan.

The Akan concept of God

Before the European Christian Missionaries came to Africa in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, the idea of God as a Supreme Being was already known and held by the people (Mbiti, 1991). Sarpong (1974) shares this view by postulating that if the Ghanaian concept of God had been borrowed from the missionaries, it would hardly have been possible for it to have been so well impressed on the minds of the people, and incorporated into their language, art, poetry, proverbs, day-to-day behaviour and drumming as we have had occasion to explain. As a matter of fact, all the three main religions (African Traditional Religion, Christian Religion and Islamic Religion) which have emerged in Africa and for that matter Ghana, have a common belief that there is a Supreme Being — God — who created all things in the universe and therefore, very powerful (Gyekye, 1996; Sarpong, 2011). As has been mentioned earlier, there are a lot of honorific and title names ascribed to God to show how the Akan conceive of Him.

It is worth noting that aside from the above, there are certain cultural practices as well as social activities among the Akan which similarly depict their worldview about God, the Supreme Being. Ansong et.al (2014) note that names, appellations, proverbs and idioms ascribed to the Supreme Being show how unique and distinct He is from other deities. For instance, names like Nyame dua “God’s tree”, Nyankonsuo “God’s water” (rainwater), Nyankonts “God’s bow” (rainbow) etc, bring to bear, their belief or idea about the Supreme Being (Rattray, 1927; Danquah, 1968). Likewise, Akan maxims like “If you want to talk to God, talk to the wind” depict their knowledge about God. The wind blows everywhere and, in all directions, although no one sees and touches it, its effects are felt everywhere. Similarly, to the Akan, God is everywhere just like the wind; and this depicts His Omnipresence.

II. DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE

The data was gathered from both primary and secondary sources. The primary source was ascertained by using two main research instruments namely, interviewing and observation while data from the secondary source was retrieved from documented materials such as books, articles, thesis (both published and unpublished), among others. Some examples of documented materials which were very useful source of data collection include the Akan Dictionary by J. G. Christaller, and Asante-Twi Bible published by The Bible Society of Ghana.

In all, eighteen speakers living in the Ashanti Region, Kumasi and Wiamoase to be precise and two Akan-Twi lecturers, were purposively sampled for the study. The choice was not only motivated by demographic features such as age and social status or position but also on the basis of their empirical knowledge on the Akan honorific and title names explored in the study. It is important to point out that none of these informants was below the age of fifty. Moreover, out of these twenty participants, twelve were males and eight, females. Two of the participants were reverend ministers; four were traditional priests/priestesses; two of them were curators (both former and present) of The Manhyia Palace; and two were Akyeame (spokespersons). Out of the remaining ten, eight were among other traditional rulers (a chief, a queen mother, a clan head and a sub-chief). The last two participants were lecturers from the University of Cape Coast, Department of Ghanaian Languages and Linguistics.

III. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

This section analyses and discusses some of the various morphological processes involved in the formation of Akan honorific and title names for God. A closer look at the data collected for the study reveals the following morphological processes in the derivation of the names of God: affixation, compounding and reduplication. In general, the structure of AHTN-G is mono-morphemic, di-morphemic or poly-morphemic. The next subsection looks at the mono-morphemic names identified in the study.

A. Deriving AHTN-G from Single Root Morphemes

AHTN-G in this category are composed of free morphemes whose internal morphological structures cannot be broken down into further morphemes to derive meaningful forms. This implies that the names are non-derivable and therefore have covert affixal markings. The names, made up of single roots, mostly carry the major components of their meanings. Moreover, the names under this umbrella may be arbitrary labels referring to God in a metaphorical sense. Examples of such names include Kuoɔtwiamansa ‘Leopard’, Preksɛ ‘Aidan fruit’, Kokuɔrebɛti ‘the Thumb’ and Pɔma ‘Walking stick’. None of these AHTN-G is decomposable.
As could be seen from the examples above, the names are mono-morphemic in that they are semantically impossible when an attempt is made to break them into further morphemes. It could also be seen that all the nouns above are common nouns that have been metaphorically extended to God; and that when any of them is put in context, it becomes more meaningful than in isolation. In other words, the Akan socio-cultural factors that hinge on the names in this category become more explicit when they are situated in contexts. For example, the expression Mede Onyame beyε me poma daa nyinaa ‘I will always make God my walking stick’. This implies that God is a Helper and therefore should be consulted in all matters. There is also an appellation of God which goes like this: Prεkεsε Gyamaadu a ᴐ fiti kurotia reba na ne ho agye afie mu ‘The Prεkεsε Gyamaadu whose aroma is diffused into houses prior to His entry into a neighbourhood’. This also implies that God is believed to exist and that His presence is always felt although not physically seen. It may also connote His might and wondrous nature. All the above names thus express a complete thought about God among the Akan.

B. Deriving AHTN-G through Affixation

Another process through which some of these AHTN-G are derived is affixation. That is, attachment of an affix (prefix, suffix or both) to a base to derive other forms distinct from the underlying constituents. Here, the study reveals three lexical categories from which the names are derived. These lexical categories are verbs, adjectives and nouns. Let us first consider how the names of God are derived through verbal nominalization.

1. Deriving AHTN-G from Verbs

Appah (2003) remarks that the nominalization of verbs is a type of nominal derivation that has been attested in almost all existing languages. Generally, the simple formula V→N can assist us in deriving the nominals from verbs as exemplified in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>Root/ Stem</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
<th>Suffix</th>
<th>Derived form</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i. ø-</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>love</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ød</td>
<td>Love</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. ø-</td>
<td>dom</td>
<td>help</td>
<td>-fo</td>
<td>ødomfo</td>
<td>Gracious One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. ø-</td>
<td>hwe</td>
<td>look</td>
<td>-fo</td>
<td>øhwefo</td>
<td>Shepherd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. ø-</td>
<td>gye</td>
<td>save</td>
<td>-fo</td>
<td>øgyefo</td>
<td>Refuge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It could be observed from the data above that some bound morphemes have been attached to the free forms (which are verbs) to derive the names. It is worth mentioning also that apart from example (i) the derivational morphemes in the examples are the suffixes. The prefixes are inflectional morphemes, indicating number. The derivation processes can be captured by the following sub-lexical rules below:

1. N → Pfx-Verb_{base}-(Sfx)

2. a. N

2. b. N

It could be observed from (2a) that the verb ø- ‘to love’, constituting the base is attached to the nominal prefix [ø-] to derive the noun ød ‘Love’. In 2b, the base dom ‘help’, first merges with the nominalised suffix [-fo] to form a complex/larger base before it merges with the inflectional affix [ø-] to complete the nominalization process to form the name ødomfo.

2. Deriving AHTN-G from Adjectives

Some of the names of God are also derived from adjectives. In other words, nominal affixes are attached to adjectives to derive such nouns as exemplified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pfx</th>
<th>Root/Stem</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
<th>Sfx</th>
<th>Derived form</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i. ø-</td>
<td>keseε</td>
<td>big</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>økeseε</td>
<td>The Great one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. ø-</td>
<td>kantinka</td>
<td>huge</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>økantinka</td>
<td>The Great one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. ø-</td>
<td>kokuroko</td>
<td>great</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Okokuroko</td>
<td>The Huge one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. ø-</td>
<td>kronkron</td>
<td>holy</td>
<td>-mi</td>
<td>økronkron</td>
<td>The Holy one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The derived forms reflect the augmentativeness of God. Like the nominal derivation of verbs, the nominalized adjectives may take either a prefix, a suffix or both, as could be seen from table 2 above. The sub-lexical rule for the derivation of the nominalized adjectives can be formulated as in (3) below:

3. \[ N \rightarrow \text{Pfx-Adj}_{\text{base}}-\text{Sfx} \]

The rule is exemplified as follows:

4. a. \[ N \rightarrow \text{Adj}_{\text{base}} \rightarrow \text{N} \]
   b. \[ N \rightarrow \text{N} \]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Adj}_{\text{base}} & \rightarrow \kappa\kappa\epsilon \epsilon \\
\text{N} & \rightarrow \text{N} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Just like the nominalization of verbs, it can be observed from (4a) that the nominal prefix \( \text{-} \) is attached to the adjective \( \kappa\kappa\epsilon \epsilon \) ‘big’ to yield the surface form \( \kappa\kappa\epsilon \epsilon \text{N} \) ‘the great one’. In (4b), however, the derivational affix \( \text{-} \text{ni} \) is first attached to the form \( \kappa\kappa\epsilon \epsilon \text{ni} \) to form the name \( \kappa\kappa\epsilon \epsilon \text{ni} \) ‘The Great one’. It is worth pointing out that the names within this category can also function like any proper noun (e.g. subjects/objects).

Sometimes some of these adjectives are converted to nouns without any overt affixes. They thus go through the process referred to as zero affixation or conversion. For instance, the adjectives \( \kappa\kappa\epsilon \epsilon, \kappa\text{antanka}, \) and \( \text{punpuni} \) may be converted to become personal names without any change in form.

3. Deriving AHTN-G from Nouns

Some of the AHTN-G derived from other nouns. Like the nominalization of adjectives and verbs, the names under this category possess affixes which mark number (singular) and agentive markers depicted below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pfx</th>
<th>Stem/Base</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
<th>Sfx</th>
<th>Derived form</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i. ( \text{-})</td>
<td>ad\kappa\kappa\epsilon \epsilon</td>
<td>Kindness</td>
<td>( -\text{fo} )</td>
<td>ad\kappa\kappa\epsilon \epsilon \text{fo}</td>
<td>Benevolent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. ( \text{-})</td>
<td>tumi</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>( -\text{fo} )</td>
<td>tumi \text{fo}</td>
<td>The Powerful one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. ( \text{-})</td>
<td>ninkunu</td>
<td>Jealousy</td>
<td>( -\text{fo} )</td>
<td>ninkunu \text{fo}</td>
<td>The Jealous one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. ( \text{-})</td>
<td>tenenee</td>
<td>righteousness</td>
<td>( -\text{ni} )</td>
<td>tenenee \text{ni}</td>
<td>The Righteous one</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It should be noted that the suffixes \( \text{ni} \) and \( \text{fo} \) are agentive markers in this context; none of them is marking number (although in some other contexts they can mark number as well). It is rather the prefixes that are marking number (singular). The sub-lexical rule that derives these names can be schematized in (5) below:

5. \[ N \rightarrow \text{Pfx-}\text{Noun}_{\text{base}}-\text{Sfx} \]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{N} & \rightarrow \text{N} \\
\text{N} & \rightarrow \text{N} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Adj}_{\text{base}} & \rightarrow \text{tumi} \rightarrow \text{Otumfo} \\
\text{Adj}_{\text{base}} & \rightarrow \text{tenenee} \rightarrow \text{strenee} \\
\end{align*}
\]

As we have observed before, the derivational affix is first attached to the base before the inflectional affix is attached to the complex base to form the name. It should also be noted that the base forms for these nouns are abstract in nature.

C. Deriving AHTN-G through Compounding

Compounding is one of the most productive word formation processes in Akan, just like in most other languages. Compounding, as a word formation process, aims at adjoining two or more lexical items or bases to produce new forms distinct from the underlying constituents. Majority of the data collected for the study fall under this process. The names in this domain are observed to have emanated from one of the following formal classifications of compounding: Noun + Noun, Noun + Verb, Noun + Adjective, Verb + Verb, Noun + Postposition + Noun etc. This is therefore in line with those outlined by scholars such as Dolphyne (1988), Abakah (2003), Owu-Ewie (2014), Appah (2013), among others. A closer look at the compound names also reveals instances where part of these nominals are nominalized sentences or clauses. That is, a whole or part of a sentence is fused together to form the names. Further, a compound nominal may either be in isolative (open), combinative (solid) or hyphenated style (Lieber, 2009; Hayes, 2009; Appah, 2013; Owu-Ewie, 2014; Agyekum, 2017). Also, the AHTN-G that are described from this angle are poly-morphemic.
1. Deriving AHTN-G from Noun + Noun Compounds

This type of compounding is one of the productive word formation processes in Akan in general (Dolphyne, 1988; Abakah, 2004; Marfo, 2004; Appah, 2013). This is where two independent base nouns or noun phrases are combined in the process of deriving the name. In Noun-Noun compounding, mostly, the first noun (N1) serves as a pre-modifier to the second noun (N2). That is, the first nouns qualify the second nouns (i.e. appositive). Here, the N2 is thus the substantive noun being modified by the N1 at pre-head position. There are two types: those that are written together as one word, and those that are open even at the surface level as exemplify below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i.</th>
<th>ii.</th>
<th>iii.</th>
<th>iv.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ayeyie + owura</td>
<td>ṣa + ṣhene</td>
<td>omnibie + adamfo</td>
<td>akunafio + kuni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>praise + lord</td>
<td>war + king</td>
<td>‘the poor’ + ‘friend’</td>
<td>‘widows’ + ‘husband’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ayeyiwura</td>
<td>ṣahene</td>
<td>Omnibie Adamfo</td>
<td>Akunafio Kuni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lord of praise</td>
<td>Warlord</td>
<td>The Friend of the Poor</td>
<td>‘Widows’ husband’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As could be seen from table 4 above, the underlying lexical items concatenated to form the nominals are made up of two independent nouns; and the resultant nouns are in combinative style. The first constituents modify the second constituents in all the cases. The sub-lexical rule for the derivation of N-N compound names can be formulated as in (7) below.

7. \[ N \to N_{\text{base}}-N_{\text{base}} \]

8. a. \[ N \to N_{\text{base}}-N_{\text{base}} \]
   b. \[ N \to N_{\text{base}}-N_{\text{base}} \]

ayeyie owura → Ayeyiwura
on nibie adamfo → Omnibie Adamfo

2. Deriving AHTN-G from Noun + Adjective Compounds

Some of the AHTN-G are derived from noun-adjective compounds. That is, the first word is a noun and the second, an adjective. The nouns in these constructions are head nouns (NPs) being modified by the adjectives in the post-head position. Adjectives in this category are used attributively as exemplified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i.</th>
<th>ii.</th>
<th>iii.</th>
<th>iv.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>εban + denden</td>
<td>nafo + tabraba</td>
<td>atofo + kεse</td>
<td>dua + pᴐn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wall + hard</td>
<td>breast + large</td>
<td>waist + mighty</td>
<td>tree + large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandenden</td>
<td>Nufotabraba</td>
<td>Atofokεse</td>
<td>Odupᴐn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard wall</td>
<td>The Large Breast</td>
<td>The Mighty waist</td>
<td>The Great Tree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It could be observed from table 5 that apart from the example in (iv) none of the derived nominals has an inflectional affix. So far as the derivational process is concerned, the two bases first come together to form a complex base, then an affix (optional) could be attached to this complex base to complete the derivation process as seen in (10b). The sub-lexical rule for this process is schematized below:

9. \[ \text{N} \to \text{(Pfx) N}_{\text{base}}-\text{Adj}_{\text{base}} \]

10. a. \[ \text{N} \to \text{(Pfx) N}_{\text{base}}-\text{Adj}_{\text{base}} \]
    b. \[ \text{N} \to \text{(Pfx) N}_{\text{base}}-\text{Adj}_{\text{base}} \]

atofo kεse → Atofokεse
dua pᴐn → Odupᴐn

It could be observed from (10) that the derived nominal follows the syntactic structure of Akan where the adjective is the post modifier to the head-noun. Additionally, as said earlier, the adjectives qualifying the noun express physical property of God in metaphorical context or imaginary sense. The adjectives in the derived nominal mark augmentativity.

3. Deriving AHTN-G from Noun + Verb Compounds

In addition, some of the AHTN-G are derived from noun-verb compounds. The nouns precede the verbs even in the surface structure as depicted below.
Compounds formed out of Noun-Verb combinations usually involve affixation as well. In other words, after putting the two bases together, affixes are attached to the derived word before the derivation process is completed as depicted below:

11. $N \rightarrow (Pfx)\text{-}N_{base}\text{\text{-}Verb_{base}}\text{\text{-}(Sfx)}$

12. a. $N_{pfk} \rightarrow N_{base} \rightarrow N_{dxf}$

   $N_{base} \rightarrow V_{base}$

   mpaeb $\rightarrow$ tie $\rightarrow$ fo $\rightarrow$ mpaeb$\rightarrow$tiefo$\rightarrow$Oduruyεfo

   o $\rightarrow$ duru $\rightarrow$ ye $\rightarrow$ fo $\rightarrow$ Oduruyεfo

The illustration in (12a) displays a N-V compounding, whereby the noun $aduro$ ‘medicine’ and the verb $ye$ ‘do’ are concatenated to form $aduroye$. This form then undergoes affixation, that is, the addition of the nominal suffix $-fo$ (an agentive marker) to yield the structure $duygfo(\sigma)$; and prefixed with the singular marker o- to yield the name $Oduyefo(\sigma)$ ‘herbalist/healer’. Similarly, in (12b), the form $Mpaeb$ $tiefo$ ‘prayer listener’ is made up of the base noun $mpaeb$ ‘prayer’ and the verb $tie$ ‘listen’ which then picks up the agentive marker $-fo$ to obtain the output form. Furthermore, almost all the base nouns of this pattern are common nouns and the verbs are in the indicative mood. Appah (2003) notes that in Akan, the choice of an affix in the process of deriving a nominal is determined by certain semantic factors. These include the entity to be named; whether or not the entity is in animate; human or non-human. As could also be seen from table 6, during the process of nominalization, some of the constituents drop their original affixes and pick up new ones which conform to the appropriate semantic class or feature of the referent (i.e. either $+human$ or $+inanimate$).

In ‘i’ for instance, the base form having gone through the process of compounding, drops the prefix $-a$ in $aduro$ and replaces it with o- $+(human$, in singular form) in the output form. A close look at the structure of the base forms suggests that originally, they are verb phrases whose linear orders have been transposed in the process of constructing the nominals. That is, we can switch the positions of the underlying constituents to ascertain the verb phrases (VPs) as portrayed in the phrase $ye$ $aduro$ ‘to be on medication’.

4. Deriving AHTN-G from Verb + Noun Compounds

This is similar to what was seen above in that the compounding is formed out of a verb and a noun; however, for this process, the underlying structure of the VP is maintained. In other words, the positions of the verb and its complement are not switched. In addition, just like the N-V compounding, the nominalization process may require affixation as well (usually, a prefix). The suffixes in this context are usually empty morphs (e.g. the –e in v.) as depicted in table 7 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pfx</th>
<th>Base forms</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
<th>Sfx</th>
<th>Derived form</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i. a-</td>
<td>ma + nsu</td>
<td>give + water</td>
<td>-o</td>
<td>Amosu($\theta$)</td>
<td>Giver of rain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. a-</td>
<td>kata + akiy</td>
<td>cover + back</td>
<td>-e</td>
<td>$\rightarrow$</td>
<td>$\rightarrow$ Valiant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. a-</td>
<td>gye + nkwa</td>
<td>save + life</td>
<td>-e</td>
<td>$\rightarrow$</td>
<td>$\rightarrow$ Saviour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sub-lexical rule for the derivation of the names is given in 13 below:

13. $N \rightarrow Pfx\text{-}Verb_{base}\text{-}Noun_{base}\text{-}(Sfx)$

Structurally, this is represented by 14a and b below:

14. a. $N_{pfk} \rightarrow N_{base} \rightarrow N_{dxf}$

   $V_{base} \rightarrow a \rightarrow ma \rightarrow nsu \rightarrow Amosu$

   b. $N_{pfk} \rightarrow N_{base} \rightarrow N_{dxf}$

   $V_{base} \rightarrow a \rightarrow gye \rightarrow nkwa \rightarrow agyenkwa
In (14a), the elements merged to form the compound nominal are the verb *ma* ‘give’ which first combines with its complement *nsu* ‘water’, and then combines with the derivational prefix *a-* to derive *Amosu* ‘Giver of water’. The same process applies to (14b) as well.

5. Deriving AHTN-G from Verb + Verb Compounds

This is yet another class of compound from which some AHTN-G are composed. Here, the underlying constituents are verbs that also undergo affixation to derive the output. Examples of the names that fall within this category are outlined in Table (8) below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pfx</th>
<th>Base forms</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
<th>Sfx</th>
<th>Derived Form</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>o- di + ma</td>
<td>intercede + give</td>
<td>-foɔ</td>
<td>Odimafo</td>
<td>Advocate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii.</td>
<td>a- ko + be-to</td>
<td>go + come meet</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Akorbufo</td>
<td>Everlasting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii.</td>
<td>o- nya + mee</td>
<td>get + satisfy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Onyame</td>
<td>The satisfying one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv.</td>
<td>a- ye + boa</td>
<td>be + help</td>
<td>-foɔ</td>
<td>Ayɛboafɔ</td>
<td>The helper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sub-lexical rule deduced from table 8 is given below:

15. \[ N \rightarrow \text{Pfx-Verb base-Verb base-(Sfx)} \]

It should be noted that some of the outputs do not require suffixes as depicted in (iii) and (iv). Conversely, the prefixes seem to be obligatory, and they function as inflectional morphemes. Examples (i) and (v) are represented as (16a) and (16b) respectively.

6. Deriving AHTN-G from Noun + Postp. (mu) + Noun Compounds

In the foregoing discussion, we have concentrated on the AHTN-G derived from lexical categories (the major word classes) including verbs, nouns and adjectives. In this sub-section, we analyse some few names of God which feature a minor word class, postposition. Postpositions in Akan are equivalent to preposition in the English Language. That is, whereas prepositions occur before nouns in English, postpositions occur after nouns in Akan. The compound names under this category are formed by combining nouns and postpositions in the structure Noun + Postposition + Noun. That is, the names are composed of a noun, a postposition (usually *mu* ‘in’) and another noun. Examples of such names that undergo this process are given in Table 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base forms</th>
<th>Derived form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i. Ahene + mu + ahene</td>
<td>Ahenemuhene ‘King of kings’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Awura + mu + awura(de)</td>
<td>Awuramuawura ‘Lord of Lords’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. Ahohia + mu + ahohiafo</td>
<td>ahohiamuahofo ‘One who intercedes in times of distress’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. Annumuonyam + mu + annumuonyamfo</td>
<td>Annumuonyam-mu-annumuonyamfo ‘The most dignified among dignitaries’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sub-lexical rule that can derive these nominals is formulated as:

17. \[ N \rightarrow \text{Noun base-Postp-Noun base} \]

The above rule is represented as follows:
The word structures of (18a & b) reveal that the noun base forms ahene ‘kings’ and ḷhene ‘king’ and awura ‘lords’ and owura (lord) respectively compound with the postposition mu ‘in’ to derive the nouns Ahenemuhene ‘king of kings’ and Awuramuwura respectively. What happens is that, N1 first merges with the postposition to form a complex base (ahenemu and awuramu), then the base merges with N2 to derive the output. In fact, N2 is considered the head of the complex noun in that, so far as meaning is concerned, it is the one considered to be the unique or best among the rest.

Some of the compounds may be isolative, combinative or hyphenated. We have discussed the derivation of AHTN-G in combinative style. In other words, the constituents making up the nominals remain open in the resultant names. We devote the next sub-section to analyse the compound names which are in isolative style.

7. Deriving AHTN-G with more than Two Constituents

The names that have been considered so far under compounding process are those featuring two major word classes and postposition (N-P-N). In this sub-section, we consider those with more than two constituent parts. Sometimes compound nominals including proper names are derived from at least three underlying constituents or base forms. These nominals could therefore be considered more complex than those with two bases. A critical observation of these nominals brings to bear that their nominalization sometimes even involves a fusion of a whole or parts of a sentence or clause, hence, their complex nature which could sometimes distort their meanings. It is for this reason why their internal morphological structures must be analysed. Moreover, though they occur post-lexically (in clauses or sentences), they have morphological implications; their outputs are not clauses but nominals. Akan speakers nominalize clauses for word economy; the nominalized parts supposedly provides economic way of demonstrating the meaning of the whole clause (Mensah, 2003 cited in Appah, 2003) The morphological structures that derive the names under this category correspond to that of the traditional sentence in Akan including simple, compound and complex sentences.

8. Deriving AHTN-G from Simple Sentences

This is where the compound names are deduced through the nominalization of simple sentences. Here, the nominals are usually derived by dropping the subject of the sentence and combining all the remaining elements into one lexical unit in the surface form (see also Obeng, 2001; Appah, 2003). Some of the nominals may involve affixation as well. Below are some illustrations.

AHTN-G derived from simple sentence

19. a. Pfx V N V → Nominal
   ḷ- de bone kyε →  ḷdebonekyε
   Nom take sin give ‘one who forgives sins’

b. Pfx V N Adj → Nominal
   ḷ- ye adε yie →  ḷyadeyie
   Nom do thing good ‘Repairer’

c. N V Adv → Nominal
   Nyansa boa kwa → Nyansaboakwa
   Wisdom help freely ‘one who gives wisdom freely’

d. V PostP. V → Nominal
   Da ase breb → Daasebre
   Lie under tired ‘Benevolent’

e. Pfx V N N → Nominal
   ḷ- te ananka nuuro → ḷteanankunuuro
   Nom pluck snake herbs ‘snakebite herbalist’

As could be seen from the data above, the derived forms are nominalized sentences or clauses. One thing worth noting is that the subjects (esp. 3SG) are dropped in the process of the derivation (Appah, 2003; Obeng, 2001). What therefore seems to be subjects in the surface realizations (e.g. ḷ-) are rather nominalizers. The following assertion by Appah (2003, p. 62) throws more light on this process: This is the process by which subjects both lexical and pronominal, are dropped in the process of deriving nouns from clauses. The process of subject dropping may be obscured by the presence of what looks like the pronoun on the derived noun especially when the subject in the clause from which the noun was derived was the third person singular subject pronoun ḷ- ‘s/he’.

The examples below make the point clearer.

20. a.i. Papa no ko de foro bọ. a.ii. ḷ-ye ḷkoforobọ.
Abisansuamansa as follows: distribution. We take the following examples from his study to support this claim. He derives Atoapem and markers of perfective and nominalization cannot co-occur in that regard. They are thus said to be in complementary applied simultaneously on the verbs in the construction. The reason Kambon (2012) assigns to this is that two separate nominalization of clause chaining serial constructions (as in ‘74’) in Akan, the nominalizing marker noted to occur on both of the verbs undergoes affixation process to derive the form hunu+nya+nkwa expressed in the derived nominal. The selection of the obligatory elements in the clause therefore yields;

10. Conditional Compounds

This is where the clauses nominalized to derive the names are conditional. In (22) above, it was established that in deriving the nominal, the subject is dropped by default and then the elements that remain in the clause are juxtaposed to realize the surface structure representation. Here, a different case is observed. In deriving the nominals under this category, other elements (non-core) together with the subject in the deep structure are usually dropped in the output level. The nominals may or may not go through affixation. The following exemplifies this nominalization Process.

AHTN-G derived from conditional compounds

21. a. Pfx V N V → Nominal
   → se adec ye → seadeye
   Nom say thing do ‘one who fulfils his promise’

b. Pfx V Pfx V N → Nominal
   a- hunu a- nya nkwa → Ahumuanyankwa
   Nom see Nom get life ‘one at the sight of him you live/Saviour’

c. N V Pfx V Postp. → Nominal
   akwan si a- fa mu → Kwansiafamu
   way block Nom pass in ‘one who is able to penetrate through a blocked road’

As could be seen from (23) above, the whole compound is deduced from a sentence comprising two clauses; a conditional clause and a main clause. We observe that the conditional marker is dropped during the nominalization process. Thus, in this category, it is not only the subject which undergoes dropping but also the conditional marker ‘a’ and some other underlying units which may not be useful in the derivational process. For example, the form Ahumuanyankwa in (b) can be a truncated or composite form of the clause:

22. Wo-hunu no a, wo-nya nkwa.
   You-see him COND you-get life
   ‘If you see him, you get life.’

As said earlier, it could be seen from the above clause that the highlighted elements including the second person singular subject wo ‘you’, the third person singular marker no ‘him’, and the conditional marker a are covertly expressed in the derived nominal. The selection of the obligatory elements in the clause therefore yields; hunu+nya+nkwa (i.e. concatenation of the elements which have not been highlighted). This structure therefore undergoes affixation process to derive the form a-hunu-a-nya-nkwa ~ Ahumuanyankwa. Here, the nominal prefix [a-] is noted to occur on both of the verbs hunu and nya simultaneously. In this light, Kambon (2012) argues that during the nominalization of clause chaining serial constructions (as in ‘74’) in Akan, the nominalizing marker a- is sometimes applied simultaneously on the verbs in the construction. The reason Kambon (2012) assigns to this is that two separate markers of perfective and nominalization cannot co-occur in that regard. They are thus said to be in complementary distribution. We take the following examples from his study to support this claim. He derives Atoapem and Abisansuamansa as follows:

23. a. a to a pem
   +NOM encounter +NOM collide
   SVN: Atoapem ‘unsurpassable point or thing’
   (Obadele, 2012: 253)

b. a bisa nsu a ma nsa
   +NOM ask water +NOM give liquor
   SVN: abisansuamansa ‘liberal, generous, bountiful, munificent’
In ‘25a’ above, it could be seen that the nominalizer a- is applied on the verbs to and pem respectively. Similarly, in ‘25b’ the nominalizer a- has again, been respectively applied on the verbs bisa and ma simultaneously.

Further, Agyeekum (2019: 358) also points out that the nominal ahunuawu ‘seeing and dying’ is derived as:

24. [Pref V Pref V] → Nominal word
[a- hunu a- wu] → Ahunuawu

Here too the nominalizer [a-] has been applied on the base verbs hunu and wu concurrently. Following these authors, it can be argued that here, the prefix a- applied on the verbs simultaneously, are nominalizers rather than perfective markers.

11. Relative Compounds

This is the last aspect under compounding as a morphological process. It has to do with nominals that are derived from a relative clause. A nominal under this category is a product of a noun (head of NP) and a relative clause (embedded in this noun phrase) modifying NP rightwardly. Aziaku (2016) observes that in deriving Ewe animal names from a relative clause, the relative marker or pronoun which always follows its head nouns can be covertly expressed when surfaced. According to him, the animal name xe-do-a-ameku ‘African wood owl’ is for instance, derived from the relative construction below:

25. xe si do-a            ame
bird REL  plant.HAB  man Poss death
bird that wishes humans’ death

‘African wood owl’ (Aziaku, 2016, p. 94)

We could see from the above construction that the relative marker si was covert in the process of deriving the name. Similarly, in Akan, the relative marker in relative constructions together with other non-core elements can drop in the nominalization process as has been exemplified below:

12. AHTN-G Derived from Relative Compounds

26. a. N Pfx Foc V → Nominal
   Adom a nko ma → adomankoma
   Grace Nom only give ‘Gracious one’

b. V N Pfx Neg V → Nominal
   Twere dua a m pɔn → (O)Twereduampɔn/Tweaduampɔn
   Lean tree Nom not fall ‘the one you lean without falling’

There are only two nominals that are analysed under this process. A close look at (28) above reveals that the nominal may or may not involve linear order inversion or transposition. It can be noted here that some of the nominalized forms do not stick to their linear order in the underlying representations. That is, some of the elements can swap positions during the nominalization process. For example, the form Otwereduampɔn can be reconstructed to have the full relative construction:

27. Dua a wo-twere no a wo-m-pɔn
   Tree REL you-lean it COND you-not-fall
   The tree you lean without falling
   ‘Dependable’

In the process of nominalizing this clause, the obligatory elements (unhighlighted elements) must combine to produce the form dua-twere-m-pɔn. The verb or VP twere is fronted or switch positions with the NP dua ‘tree’ and then merge with the remaining elements m-pɔn yielding the form Otwereduampɔn also written as Tweaduampɔn. Here too, we see subject deletion (i.e. wo) which makes its derivation similar to that of (23) above.

Aziaku (2016) again, asserts that such linguistic swapping probably makes the patient (object) more topical than the subject NP, and also to cause a suppression to the non-core units of the structure. The process under discussion has been termed as Object Fronting by Appah (2013) and Head-Dependent Inversion by Appah (2009). We, however, observe that the derivation of the nominal in ‘28a’ does not follow this process; the linear order remains in the surface form. For instance, adomankoma is taken through the following processes during its production. We first write the full form as:

28. Adom a ɔno nko ma
   Grace REL him alone give
   ‘The grace he alone gives/the ever-gracious one’

The obligatory elements adom, nko, and ma are first concatenated (i.e. adom-nko-ma) and with the help of the nominalizing affixes -ɔ- and a- realized as adomankoma. We reiterate here that the choice of these affixes are motivated by the semantic class of the referent (i.e. +human). Here too, the relative clause marker ‘a’ is in complementary distribution with the nominalizing prefix a- marked on the last unit of the name; that is, nkoma. We therefore do not subscribe to the derivation of adomankoma by Appah (2003) which shows that the relative marker is overt in the surface structure. We tentatively argue that if it were the relative marker ‘a’ which is retained in the output level, it
would have been raised or lengthened to have the form [aa] or [â] which is prototypical of relative constructions (Saah, 2010; McCracken, 2013; Abrefa, 2016).

D. Reduplication in AHTN-G

Dolphyne (1988: 124) defines reduplication as “a type of compound formation which consists of the repetition of the whole or part of a stem”. Reduplication is of two types: partial or full, where the former has to do with a repetition of part of a stem and the latter, a complete repetition of a stem or a base. A close look at the data collected for this study also reveals such morphological properties as illustrated below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pfx</th>
<th>Base forms</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
<th>Sfx</th>
<th>Derived form</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>borebore</td>
<td>dig</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Borebore</td>
<td>Originator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii.</td>
<td>twi + ktwere</td>
<td>uproot + lean</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tuktwere</td>
<td>Depend-able</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii.</td>
<td>twi + gye</td>
<td>cut + save</td>
<td>-oɔ</td>
<td>Otwitwagyefo</td>
<td>Intercessor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv.</td>
<td>hyεberε + sesa</td>
<td>destiny + change</td>
<td>-oɔ</td>
<td>Hyεbersesasafo</td>
<td>Destiny changer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It could be observed from the data above that the underlying constituents that contain the italic forms are the reduplicated stems; those that have been italicized are the reduplicants (copies) whereas those that have not been italicized are the original stems or bases that have been reduplicated. It can therefore be structured as:

29. RF → CONSTITUENT1_reduplicant*CONSTITUENT2_base.

That is, the reduplicated form (RF) contains the constituents one (C1) and two (C2) denoting the reduplicant (Red.) and the base form respectively as has been exemplified in (83) below:

30. a. RF

   Red Base
   bore bore → Borebore

   b. RF

   Red Base
   twi twa → twitwta

It can be seen from (30a) that the base form has undergone a complete reduplication. That is, the base form bore, a verb, has been reduplicated to be surfaced as Borebore ‘dig repeatedly’ converted to imply an originator. Here, the copy (reduplicant) serves as a (nominal) prefix to the verb base (see Adomako, 2012). Also, in (30b) the first compound element has been partially reduplicated. That is, the verb base twa ‘cut’ has been reduplicated to derive the form twitwta ‘cut repeatedly’. In this light, the first syllables twi similarly serves as prefix to the base forms twa (Adomako, 2012). From this analysis, we could observe both partial (incomplete) and complete reduplications occurring in the nominals. Further, it can be seen from the data above that in the process of the nominalization, some forms may or may not take any nominalizing affixes and so the derived forms in this category could go through affixation or not. In ‘i’ for instance, the nominalizing affixes are covert whilst the ones (affixes) in ‘ii’ are overt in the composite form. Consequently, the verbs reduplicated in the nominals designate repeat actions and those which have reduplicated adjectives denote a degree of intensity. Finally, nouns reduplicated may mark number (singular/plural). The reduplicated nominals here are marking singularity.

IV. CONCLUSION

The paper set out to investigate the morphological processes underlying Akan honorific and title names for God. It reveals that some of the names that are derived from single root morphemes are observed to be mere arbitrary tags which refer to God in metaphorical sense. Also, the study has brought to light, the morphological processes including affixation, compounding and reduplication that the names undergo. It also brought forth that mostly, the lexical categories from which the names are adduced may be verbs, adjectives, or nouns but not adverbs. Again, it can be deduced from the data analysis that the names are recursive in nature and that some of them were even derived through the nominalization of sentences or clauses which makes such names more complex, especially those under compounding. Additionally, some of the names under compounding may either be in isolative or combinative style. None of the names was observed to be a product of Adj-Noun compounds which further provides the evidence to support the claim by Appah (2013) that such a morphological pattern is not as pervasive in Akan as has been previously described. Regarding sentential names, it was noticed that most of the constructions drop their subjects in the course of nominalizing them and hence changes the SVO structure to a VO.

Moreover, in the study, it has been shown that in nominalizing relative clauses, the subject, the relativizer and other non-obligatory elements delete at the surface level. The study does not, therefore, subscribe to the claim by Appah (2003) that the relative marker is overt in the derived names. In the study, it has also been argued that some affixes which are seemingly subjects or perfective markers are not; rather, they are nominalizers.
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