

# Prophetic Dialogue Strategies: A Discourse Analysis Approach to Effective Communication

Abdallah Abu Qub'a\*

English Language Department, College for Arts, King Faisal University, Alhasa, KSA

Nada Sid Ahmed Eljack

Sudan University of Science and Technology, Sudan

**Abstract**—This study analyzes the discourse strategies employed in prophetic dialogues within the Qur'an, emphasizing their relevance to contemporary communication. Unlike previous works that have examined these dialogues primarily from theological or literary perspectives, this research integrates Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA), Argumentation Theory, and Speech Act Theory to reveal how prophets structured their responses to persuade, instruct, and guide. The findings indicate that logical reasoning functioned as a central persuasive tool, enabling clarity and coherence in asserting divine authority. Rhetorical questioning appeared as a recurrent strategy to provoke reflection and challenge entrenched beliefs, while a developmental trajectory was observed in discourse organization—progressing from rational argumentation to ethical appeals, and, when resistance persisted, demonstrations of divine power. A consistent feature of prophetic communication was patience and tolerance, where opposition was addressed through principled and respectful speech rather than direct confrontation. Drawing on Van Dijk's socio-cognitive model, the study demonstrates how these rhetorical strategies influenced audience cognition and belief formation, while Grice's Cooperative Principle explains their pragmatic effectiveness. By presenting a systematic and interdisciplinary analysis, this study offers a novel framework for understanding prophetic discourse as a model of effective communication with applications in education, leadership, diplomacy, and interfaith engagement.

**Index Terms**—prophetic discourse, critical discourse analysis, rhetorical strategies, Quranic communication

## I. INTRODUCTION

Language is not merely a neutral medium of communication but a tool for shaping thought, constructing meaning, and influencing behavior (Fairclough, 1995; van Dijk, 1997). In religious texts, communication acquires even greater significance, serving both as a channel of divine guidance and as a model of persuasion and interaction. The Qur'an, in particular, preserves dialogues between prophets and their audiences that reveal how divine messages were conveyed, contested, and reinforced through discourse. These dialogues embody strategies of persuasion, negotiation, and guidance that continue to resonate in contemporary discussions of communication.

Although previous scholarship has examined Quranic dialogue from literary, rhetorical, or theological perspectives (Abdul-Raof, 2001; Mir, 1989), there has been limited work that systematically integrates discourse analysis with theories of argumentation and pragmatics. Critical Discourse Analysis (Fairclough, 1995; Wodak & Meyer, 2009) provides tools for uncovering ideological structures; Argumentation Theory (van Eemeren & Grootendorst, 2004) highlights the reasoning patterns that shape persuasive force; and Speech Act Theory (Searle, 1969) illuminates the pragmatic functions of utterances. Yet few studies combine these perspectives to analyze prophetic dialogues in the Qur'an. This gap restricts our understanding of how prophets employed language both to transmit divine truth and to engage audiences resistant to their message.

To address this gap, the present study applies CDA, Argumentation Theory, and Speech Act Theory to selected Quranic dialogues. The aim is to uncover the communicative strategies that underpinned prophetic discourse and to highlight their relevance for modern models of effective communication. Specifically, the study is guided by the following research questions:

1. How did prophets employ discourse strategies to persuade and guide their audiences?
2. What argumentative and pragmatic devices characterized their responses to opposition?
3. In what ways can these strategies inform contemporary understandings of effective communication?

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The study of prophetic discourse through discourse analysis theories has attracted attention across linguistics, religious studies, and communication research. Scholars have explored persuasive techniques, argumentation styles, and rhetorical strategies employed by prophets to communicate effectively with diverse audiences.

---

\* Corresponding Author. Email: [aabuquba@kfu.edu.sa](mailto:aabuquba@kfu.edu.sa)

Austin (1962) and Searle (1969) provide a framework for understanding how language performs actions beyond mere description, such as commanding, questioning, or persuading. Research indicates that prophetic statements in the Qur'an frequently function as directives, assertives, commissives, expressives, and declaratives (Munazil & Rababah, 2022; Amin & Safa, 2017). For instance, Prophet Moses' (peace be upon him) dialogue with Pharaoh includes assertives, "Indeed, your Lord is Allah" (Taha 20:98) and directives, "Let the Children of Israel go" (Al-A'raf 7:105). While speech act analyses reveal the pragmatic and semantic layers of prophetic utterances, few studies systematically examine patterns of escalation in persuasion or explore their relevance to modern diplomatic and educational discourse (Afsar, 2015; Heilan, 2024; Rabee & Al-Haq, 2017).

Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca (1969) examine how arguments are structured to persuade audiences. Prophets employed *logos* (logic), *ethos* (credibility), and *pathos* (emotional appeal), adapting their discourse to audience beliefs and resistance. Al-Khazaali (2024) analyzed Quranic argumentation using a qualitative, ethnographic approach, integrating Arabic and Western rhetorical traditions. Findings highlight the Qur'an's use of rhetorical questions, speech acts, and persuasive appeals to construct highly organized, audience-tailored discourse. For example, Prophet Abraham's rhetorical questioning of idol worshippers—"Do they hear you when you call them? Or do they benefit or harm you?" (Ash-Shu'ara 26:72-73)—aligns with Socratic questioning in pedagogy (Paul & Elder, 2019). Additional studies stress the importance of audience identity in shaping argumentation effectiveness (Tindale, 2013; Stutman & Newell, 1984; Gilbert et al., 2004).

Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) examines how discourse constructs and resists power structures (Fairclough, 1992; van Dijk, 1998). Prophetic discourse often challenges oppressive authority and reconfigures power dynamics. Prophet Moses' engagement with Pharaoh illustrates reframing and power reversal strategies, redirecting accusations toward divine truth rather than personal defense (Taha 20:55-56). Abu Quba and Al Qatawna (2024) show how Surah Al-Duha demonstrates the interplay of power, identity, and ideology. Other studies examine political, social, and gendered dimensions of Quranic discourse (Al-Khalidi, 2018; Altakhaineh et al., 2014; Khan et al., 2020), highlighting CDA's utility in understanding authority, discrimination, and social justice.

Existing studies provide valuable insights into prophetic rhetoric, speech acts, and argumentation. However, they often analyze these strategies in isolation, focus on literary or political dimensions, and rarely consider their application in modern contexts such as education, leadership, or diplomacy. Few studies integrate multiple discourse theories or examine the cumulative impact of rhetorical, pragmatic, and persuasive techniques.

This study addresses these gaps by applying Speech Act Theory, Argumentation Theory, and CDA in combination to examine prophetic dialogues in the Qur'an. It aims to analyze the integrated discourse strategies used by prophets and explore their relevance for contemporary communication, leadership, and educational practice.

### III. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative discourse analysis approach to investigate the dialogical strategies of prophets in their interactions with various audiences. The methodology integrates Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) and Conversation Analysis (CA), allowing for a detailed examination of power dynamics, argumentation structures, and linguistic choices in prophetic dialogues.

The primary data are extracted from the Qur'an, focusing on dialogues with clear rhetorical, persuasive, or conflict-resolution relevance. The selection of prophets and dialogues follows specific criteria: (1) the dialogue illustrates persuasion, negotiation, or resistance; (2) it involves direct interaction with an audience or adversary; (3) it reflects ethical, logical, or emotional appeals. Accordingly, the study analyzes:

- Prophet Moses (peace be upon him) and Pharaoh (Taha 20:49–72).
- Prophet Abraham (peace be upon him) and his people (Al-An'am 6:74–81).
- Prophet Noah (peace be upon him) and Prophet Shuaib (peace be upon him) with their respective communities.
- Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) and the Quraysh.

These selections provide a diverse set of dialogues, reflecting different contexts, audiences, and rhetorical strategies. Other prophets, such as Joseph, were excluded due to the episodic or narrative nature of their dialogues, which offer fewer explicit examples of persuasive interaction.

Several discourse analysis frameworks are applied:

- Fairclough's CDA (1992) examines power, ideology, and resistance, particularly in confrontations with oppressive rulers.
- Van Dijk's Socio-Cognitive Discourse Analysis (1998) investigates how prophets structured arguments to influence collective beliefs and social cognition.
- Grice's Cooperative Principle (1975) is used to analyze conversational maxims—quantity, quality, relation, and manner—to assess clarity and effectiveness in message delivery.
- Aristotelian Rhetoric (Jaclyn & Henggeler, 2009) identifies the balance of *ethos*, *pathos*, and *logos* in prophetic communication.

The analysis proceeds in several steps. First, textual analysis of Quranic verses in their original Arabic identifies linguistic patterns, rhetorical devices, and thematic structures. Second, a comparative analysis examines similarities and

differences across prophetic dialogues, highlighting both universal and unique strategies. Third, contextual interpretation considers the historical, social, and cultural background of each dialogue to understand strategic choices.

To enhance reliability and validity, the study employs triangulation by cross-referencing interpretations with classical and contemporary Tafsir, ensuring alignment with established exegesis. Peer-checking with experts in Quranic linguistics and discourse analysis verifies coding accuracy and interpretive consistency. Inter-coder reliability is established by independently coding key dialogues and resolving discrepancies through discussion. The study analyzes approximately 50–60 verses across the selected prophets to ensure a representative sample of persuasive and dialogical strategies.

Finally, findings are applied to contemporary contexts, exploring how prophetic discourse strategies inform modern communication, leadership, and negotiation practices.

#### IV. DATA ANALYSIS

The discourse analysis of prophetic dialogues in the Qur'an reveals recurrent strategies that prophets used to persuade, instruct, and negotiate with their audiences. These strategies align with established discourse theories and demonstrate nuanced methods of argumentation, resistance, and audience engagement. This section organizes the findings by strategic approaches while emphasizing cross-prophet comparisons and interpretive depth.

##### Strategic Use of Language in Prophetic Discourse

Prophets adapted their linguistic strategies based on audience context and power dynamics. Across prophets, three primary strategies emerged: logical argumentation, rhetorical questioning, and gradual escalation of discourse.

##### A. Logical Argumentation and Structured Responses

All analyzed prophets employed logical reasoning to counter objections while maintaining authority. Table 1 shows some of the logical argumentation in prophetic dialogues.

TABLE 1  
LOGICAL ARGUMENTATION IN PROPHETIC DIALOGUES

| Prophet | Dialogue Example  | Discourse Strategy        | Analysis  |
|---------|---|---------------------------|---|
| Moses   | "Our Lord is He who gave each thing its form and then guided it" (Taha 20:50).                                  | Concise logical reasoning | Shifts focus from Pharaoh's power to divine creation, asserting authority indirectly. |
| Abraham | "Do you worship that which does not hear or see and cannot avail you at all?" (Maryam 19:42).                   | Rhetorical questioning    | Promotes self-reflection among the audience, subtly challenging idol worship.         |
| Noah    | "If you turn away, my reward is only with Allah, and I have been commanded to be of the Muslims" (Yunus 10:72). | Logical detachment        | Centers argument on divine command, reducing personal confrontation.                  |

Table 1 shows the discourse strategies used by three prominent prophetic figures—Moses, Abraham, and Noah—in their respective dialogues. Moses emphasizes structured logical reasoning to counter powerful authority figures, such as Pharaoh, presenting arguments that assert divine supremacy without direct confrontation. Abraham integrates logical reasoning with ethical questioning, guiding his followers to reflect critically on their beliefs and the contradictions inherent in idol worship. In contrast, Noah demonstrates detachment by emphasizing divine reward, avoiding ego-driven conflict, and framing his mission as a fulfillment of God's command. Collectively, these approaches illustrate a strategic alignment with Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) by challenging dominant ideologies, with Socio-Cognitive Discourse Analysis by shaping audience cognition, and with Aristotelian Rhetoric by balancing logical argumentation (logos) and ethical credibility (ethos).

##### B. Rhetorical Questions to Challenge Beliefs

Rhetorical questioning serves as a persuasive tool across prophets, prompting reflection without direct confrontation. Table 2 shows some of the rhetorical questions in prophetic dialogues.

TABLE 2  
USE OF RHETORICAL QUESTIONS IN PROPHETIC DIALOGUES

| Prophet | Dialogue Example   | Discourse Strategy     | Analysis  |
|---------|--|------------------------|---|
| Abraham | "Do you worship that which does not hear or see?" (Maryam 19:42).  | Rhetorical questioning | Encourages audience to examine illogical practices.               |
| Moses   | "And what is the state of the previous generations?" (Taha 20:51). | Redirecting question   | Refocuses dialogue, subtly countering Pharaoh's diversion.        |
| Shuaib  | "Should we leave what our fathers worship?" (Hud 11:87).           | Addressing tradition   | Acknowledges cultural norms before challenging inherited beliefs. |

Table 2 illustrates the use of rhetorical questions in prophetic dialogues, highlighting how each prophet strategically uses this technique to engage with their audience and provoke reflection. Abraham's questions disrupt existing cognitive frameworks, prompting introspection and critical reflection among his listeners. Moses' inquiries, in contrast, maintain control over the dialogue when addressing a dominant opponent, subtly guiding the conversation toward divine authority.

Shuaib balances respect for tradition with gentle challenge, encouraging his audience to reconsider inherited practices without provoking resistance. Together, these approaches reveal a shared principle: engaging audiences intellectually while avoiding overt confrontation, consistent with both Grice's Cooperative Principle and socio-cognitive discourse perspectives.

### C. Gradual Escalation and Strategic Use of Power

Prophets often escalate responses from logical reasoning to moral appeals and finally to demonstrative signs, adjusting according to audience receptivity. Table 3 shows examples of the gradual escalation in prophetic dialogues.

TABLE 3  
GRADUAL ESCALATION IN PROPHETIC DIALOGUES

| Prophet  | Phase 1: Logical Reasoning   | Phase 2: Moral Appeal   | Phase 3: Demonstration of Power     |
|----------|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Moses    | "Our Lord is He who gave each thing its form and then guided it" (Taha 20:50).         | "Woe to you! Do not fabricate lies against Allah" (Taha 20:61). | Throws staff turning into a serpent |
| Muhammad | "There is no compulsion in religion; truth stands out from falsehood" (Baqarah 2:256). | "O people, worship your Lord" (Baqarah 2:21).                   | Qur'an as a miraculous sign         |
| Noah     | "If you turn away, my reward is with Allah" (Yunus 10:72).                             | "I fear for you the punishment of a great day" (Hud 11:26).     | The Great Flood                     |

Table 3 highlights the gradual escalation in prophetic dialogues, illustrating how the prophets' responses evolve in three distinct phases: logical reasoning, moral appeal, and demonstration of power. Each prophet strategically builds their argument and message, escalating the intensity of their approach to guide their audience toward the truth. Moses and Noah utilize progressive phases that move from logical reasoning to moral warning and, when necessary, demonstration of power. Prophet Muhammad, however, pairs moral appeal with the authority of the divine text rather than physical demonstration. Collectively, these examples illustrate a phased and adaptable approach to discourse, where each prophet maintains composure while effectively guiding their audience toward recognition of divine authority.

### D. Responding to Opposition With Patience and Wisdom

Prophets consistently employed non-confrontational responses to rejection, emphasizing composure and resilience. Table 4 shows examples of patience in prophetic dialogues.

TABLE 4  
PATIENCE IN PROPHETIC DIALOGUES

| Prophet  | Response to Rejection  | Strategy          | Outcome   |
|----------|--|-------------------|---|
| Noah     | "I am only a plain warner" (Hud 11:25).                              | Non-confrontation | Maintains message without personal involvement.         |
| Shuaib   | "Tell me, if I stand on clear evidence from my Lord..." (Hud 11:88). | Reframing         | Redirects conversation toward evidence-based reasoning. |
| Muhammad | "To you your religion, and to me mine" (Kafirun 109:6).              | Tolerance         | Avoids unnecessary conflict, promotes coexistence.      |

Table 4 illustrates how patience is embodied in prophetic dialogues, with each prophet demonstrating a unique response strategy to rejection and opposition. The table emphasizes the various ways in which the prophets handled resistance from their audiences, showing their wisdom in using non-confrontational, reframing, and tolerant strategies to maintain their mission without escalating conflicts. Noah detaches his personal ego, enhancing credibility; Shuaib reframes opposition into rational deliberation, guiding reflection; and Muhammad models tolerance, exemplifying ethical leadership without confrontation. Together, these approaches integrate principles from Critical Discourse Analysis, socio-cognitive analysis, and Aristotelian ethos and pathos, demonstrating deliberate composure and effectiveness in managing audience resistance.

The findings reveal a set of discourse strategies that hold significant practical relevance across education, diplomacy, and leadership contexts, while also aligning with modern discourse analysis frameworks. In education, rhetorical questioning, as exemplified by Abraham, serves not only to challenge learners intellectually but also to foster self-reflection and metacognition, encouraging students to examine assumptions and develop independent reasoning skills. From a socio-cognitive perspective, such questioning shapes the learners' cognitive frameworks, prompting deeper engagement with content and reflection on underlying beliefs. This approach aligns with contemporary pedagogical models that prioritize active learning and inquiry-based teaching, demonstrating how structured questioning can move beyond rote memorization to deeper conceptual understanding. In diplomacy, techniques such as gradual escalation and reframing, illustrated in the dialogues of Moses and Shuaib, provide a model for managing sensitive negotiations. Through a phased strategy—from logical appeals to ethical reasoning and, if needed, authoritative demonstrations—communicators influence outcomes while minimizing conflict. CDA highlights how these strategies challenge dominant perspectives without overt confrontation, strategically shaping discourse to achieve goals. In leadership, the examples of Noah and Muhammad highlight the effectiveness of non-confrontational guidance, patience, and ethical credibility in fostering trust and authority, reflecting Aristotelian ethos and pathos in practice. Leaders who combine moral authority

with measured persuasion can guide followers constructively without coercion. Collectively, these insights demonstrate that prophetic discourse offers enduring lessons for contemporary communication, emphasizing the integration of logical reasoning, emotional intelligence, and strategic adaptability to influence diverse audiences effectively. By connecting discourse strategies to CDA, socio-cognitive principles, and Aristotelian rhetoric, this study underscores the relevance of structured, ethically grounded communication in modern educational, diplomatic, and leadership contexts.

## V. CONCLUSION

The findings of this study highlight that prophetic dialogues in the Qur'an follow highly structured discourse strategies that align with contemporary discourse analysis theories. Prophets employed logical reasoning (logos), rhetorical questioning, emotional appeal (pathos), and credibility (ethos) to navigate complex interactions, guiding their audiences toward reflection, ethical awareness, and belief reform. Logical argumentation and rhetorical questioning emerged as central strategies for engaging audiences in self-reflection, fostering critical thinking, and challenging prevailing cognitive frameworks. Gradual escalation was another essential tool, where prophets progressed from logical reasoning to moral appeals and, when necessary, demonstrations of divine authority, ensuring that persuasion was both measured and effective. Patience and composure in the face of rejection were consistently maintained, allowing prophets to preserve credibility while reframing opposition constructively. Contextual adaptation was evident throughout, with prophets adjusting their discourse to audience background, knowledge, and attitude, as seen in Moses' assertive engagement with Pharaoh, Abraham's reflective questioning of idol worshippers, Shuaib's careful redirection of accusations, and Muhammad's tolerant approach toward religious opposition.

Analyzed through Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA), Socio-Cognitive Discourse Analysis, Conversation Analysis (CA), and Aristotelian rhetoric, prophetic dialogues reveal a highly strategic and intentional approach that balances logic, persuasion, and emotional intelligence. From a CDA perspective, these dialogues effectively challenged dominant ideological structures without direct confrontation, reinforcing prophetic authority through discourse rather than coercion. Socio-cognitive analysis demonstrates how rhetorical strategies influenced audience cognition, gradually shaping beliefs and facilitating reflective engagement. The Aristotelian framework highlights the careful calibration of ethos, pathos, and logos, which enhanced the persuasive power of prophetic communication while maintaining ethical integrity.

The study also underscores several practical implications for modern communication, leadership, and education. In teaching, rhetorical questioning encourages active reflection over rote memorization, logical argumentation enhances clarity and reasoning, and patience fosters a positive learning environment. In leadership and diplomacy, audience-aware discourse, gradual escalation, and ethically grounded persuasion strengthen influence and conflict resolution. In media and public discourse, rhetorical questioning, reframing arguments, and the judicious use of moral appeals promote critical thinking, constructive dialogue, and ethical communication practices.

Despite these insights, the study has limitations. Some findings reiterate points detailed in the discussion, and while the analysis demonstrates cross-prophet patterns, empirical testing is needed to evaluate practical outcomes. Future research could explore comparative studies between prophetic discourse and modern leadership rhetoric, examine cross-cultural applications of these strategies, and implement experimental studies in classrooms, diplomatic contexts, and public discourse to assess the effectiveness of patience, reframing, and escalation techniques. Additionally, the application of prophetic principles in digital communication and AI ethics presents a promising avenue for addressing misinformation and fostering responsible online discourse.

In conclusion, this study is among the first to systematically integrate CDA, Speech Act Theory, Socio-Cognitive Discourse Analysis, and Aristotelian rhetoric in examining Qur'anic prophetic dialogues. It demonstrates that these dialogues exemplify a model of structured, ethical, and strategically adaptive communication that remains highly relevant for contemporary education, leadership, diplomacy, and public discourse, offering a transferable framework for understanding and applying persuasive, reflective, and ethically grounded communication in diverse modern contexts.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, Vice Presidency for Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia [Project No 253577].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Abdallah Abu Quba, M., & Alqatawna, M. (2024). A discourse analysis of Surah Al-Duha in the Holy Qur'an: Implications for contemporary discourse. *World Journal of English Language*, 14(2), 36. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wjel.v14n2p36>
- [2] Abdul-Raof, H. (2001). *Qur'an translation: Discourse, texture and exegesis*. Routledge.
- [3] Afsar, A. (2015). Speech acts in the story of Adam and Eve in the Bible and the Qur'an. *Islamic Studies*, 54(3-4), 185-202. <http://irigs.iiu.edu.pk/article/view>
- [4] Al-Khalidi, H. R. M. (2018). References of the political discourse in the Holy Qur'an: Critical and analytical study in the field of political sociology. *Al-Anbar University Journal for Humanities*, 4, 21-45. <http://search.mandumah.com/Record/975610>. Retrieved January 10, 2025.
- [5] Al-Khazaali, M. A. R. (2024). Argumentation in the Glorious Qur'an: A rhetorical pragmatic perspective. *Global Journal Al-Thaqafah*, 10(2), 9-18. <https://doi.org/10.7187/GJAT122020-2>

- [6] Altakhaineh, A. R., Jarrah, M., & AlSulayyi, M. N. (2014). Discourse meanings: An application of intertextuality perspective. *International Journal of Linguistics*, 6(5), 85–96. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijl.v6i2.5078>
- [7] Amin, N., & Safa, H. (2017). Analysis of speech act imperative in Al-Qur'an. *Advances in Natural and Applied Science*, 10(17), 1-9. <http://www.aensiweb.com/ANAS>. Retrieved January 10, 2025.
- [8] Austin, J. L. (1962). *How to do things with words*. Oxford University Press.
- [9] Fairclough, N. (1992). *Discourse and social change*. Polity Press.
- [10] Gilbert, M. A., Grasso, F., Groarke, L., Gurr, C. A., & Gerlofs, J. M. (2004). The persuasion machine. In *Argumentation machines* (pp. 57–76). Springer.
- [11] Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and conversation. In P. Cole & J. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and semantics* (Vol. 3, pp. 41–58). Academic Press.
- [12] Heilan, T. A. (2024). Speech act theory (A textual study in the light of the Noble Qur'an). *ARID International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities (AIJSSH)*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.36772/arid.ajssh.2024.s.68>
- [13] Khan, M. Y., Mahnoor, M., & Butt, M. H. (2020). Resisting Power in Discourse and Discourse in Power: Role of Discourse in Social and Gender Discrimination. *Journal of Development and Social Sciences*, 1(1), 53-64. DOI: 10.47205/jdss.2020(1-1)6
- [14] Lutske, J., & Henggeler, M. F. (2009). *The rhetorical triangle: Understanding and using logos, ethos, and pathos*. Indiana University School of Liberal Arts.
- [15] Mohammed, T., & Rababah, L. (2022). An investigation of speech acts types used in the last sermon of Prophet Mohammed (PBUH). *Studies in Linguistics and Literature*, 6(2), 32-41. <https://doi.org/10.22158/sll.v6n2p32>
- [16] Musaab, A. R. Al-Khazaali. (2024). Argumentation in the Glorious Qur'an: A rhetorical pragmatic perspective. *Global Journal Al-Thaqafah*, 10(2), 9–18. <https://doi.org/10.7187/GJAT122020-2>
- [17] Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2019). *The art of Socratic questioning*. Foundation for Critical Thinking.
- [18] Perelman, C., & Olbrechts-Tyteca, L. (1969). *The new rhetoric: A treatise on argumentation*. University of Notre Dame Press.
- [19] Qur'an.com. (n.d.). *Tafsir Ibn Kathir*. Retrieved January 20, 2025, from <https://quran.com/10:72/tafsirs/en-tafsir-ibn-kathir>.
- [20] Raghda, S. R., & Al-Haq, F. (2017). The speech act of greetings in selected verses of the Glorious Qur'an: A socio-pragmatic study. *US-China Foreign Language*, 15(2), 91–110. DOI: 10.17265/1539-8080/2017.02.004
- [21] Searle, J. R. (1969). *Speech acts: An essay in the philosophy of language*. Cambridge University Press.
- [22] Stutman, R. K., & Newell, S. E. (1984). Beliefs versus values: Salient beliefs in designing a persuasive message. *Western Journal of Speech Communication*, 48(4), 362–372. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10570318409374172>
- [23] Tindale, C. W. (2013). Rhetorical argumentation and the nature of audience: Toward an understanding of audience—Issues in argumentation. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 46(4), 508–532. <https://doi.org/10.5325/phlrrhet.46.4.0508>
- [24] van Dijk, T. A. (1998). *Ideology: A multidisciplinary approach*. SAGE Publications.
- [25] Wood, J. T. (1982). Communication and relational culture: Bases for the study of human relationships. *Communication Quarterly*, 30(2), 75–84. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01463378209369432>

**Abdallah Yousef Abu Quba** was born Jordan. He received his Master's degree in Linguistics from the University of Jordan.

He is currently an English language instructor at the English Language Department at King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia. His research interests include discourse analysis, educational psychology, English language teaching, and the use of AI tools in education.

Mr. Abu Quba is a member of several academic and research communities and has published numerous papers in Scopus-indexed journals. Email: [aabubuqa@kfu.edu.sa](mailto:aabubuqa@kfu.edu.sa) ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8027-0152>

**Nada S. A. Eljack** was born in Sudan. She received her Ph.D. degree in Linguistics from Sudan University for Science and Technology, Khartoum, Sudan.

She is currently an Associate Professor in the Department of English Languages, Faculty of Arts, at the same university. With over 30 years of experience in education, she has also worked as the Head of English for Education Systems at the British Council, Sudan, where she managed English language programs, teacher training, and curriculum development projects.

Dr. Eljack holds a CELTA certification and has published several papers in national and international journals. Her research interests include linguistics, discourse analysis, and English language teaching. Email: [nadaeljack@sustech.edu](mailto:nadaeljack@sustech.edu) ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0016-5086>